FROM SENT TO:				
SWYDDOGOL OFFICIAL				
In res	ponse to your e mail, I can answer the following			
Dear Sir or Madam				
1.	What was the information that the Police have that prompted the phone call			
	You made contact with police at Dyfed Powys Police yourself, and storm ref DP-20190625-119 was created in response to this.			
2.	The name and number of the person making the call			
	The officer was PC 657 Sue Naul who is a member of our mental health triage team.			
3	Full details of the event that was referred to in June were it was alleged that I was seen, locally in June			
	You were seen by the crisis team in Ammanford on 4 th June 2019, storm ref DP-20190604-275 refers, again this is something you created via e mail.			

Regards

Mark

Arolygydd / Inspector Mark Davies

FROM: SENT:	Alan Tait < <u>alandtait@gmail.com</u> > 26 th June 2019 13:26
TO:	Davies Mark Insp < mark.davis.insp@dyfed-powys.pnn.police.uk >
Dear Mark	
Thank you for your	quick reply.
You mention a stor	m report, would you kindly send me a copy.
Regards	
Alan Tait	

Davies Mark Insp < mark.davis.insp@dyfed-powys.pnn.police.uk 27th June 2019 14:28 FROM:

SENT:

Alan Tait < alandtait@gmail.com > TO:

SWYDDOGOL OFFICIAL

Mr	Tait

I am not in a position to send you storm reports sorry, they are confidential police reports and you will have to request this via the freedom of information department.

Regards

Mark

Arolygyd / Inspector Mark Davies

FROM: PSD < <u>psd@dyfed-powys.pnn.police.uk</u>>

SENT: 9^h March 2020 11:19

TO: Alan Tait < alandtait@gmail.com >

SWYDDOGOL OFFICIAL

I have now reviewed the storm message refereed to and can confirm there is no mention of you being seen by the Ammanford crisis team in that record.

There is mention of a visit to a GP in Barry and you were contacted by our Mental health triage team.

There's clearly been some confusion and an error has been made on the part of Insp. Davies.

On behalf of Dyfed Powys police please accept my apology for this error.

I hope that this has now provided you with some reassurance that there is no reference in records held by Dyfed Powys police of you being seen by the Ammanford crisis team

Regards

James Lewis

Professional Standards Department

Notes:

My original question has not been answered.

I asked what prompted the two calls from the triage team.

Given that the following two statements are incorrect, what prompted the triage team to phone me on two separate occasions?

You made contact with police at Dyfed Powys Police yourself, and storm ref DP-20190625-119 was created in response to this.

You were seen by the crisis team in Ammanford on 4th June 2019, storm ref DP-20190604-275 refers, again this is something you created via e mail.

In the apology it stated:

I have now reviewed the storm message refereed to and can confirm there is no mention of you being seen by the Ammanford crisis team in that record.

There is mention of a visit to a GP in Barry and you were contacted by our Mental health triage team.

There's clearly been some confusion and an error has been made on the part of Insp. Davies.

I have never visited a GP in Barry.

Although it was stated that there was "some confusion" what the inspector originally stated was this:

Me: What was the information that the Police have that prompted the phone call

Insp: You made contact with police at Dyfed Powys Police yourself, and storm ref DP-20190625-119 was created in response to this.

Why is no mention of how I was alleged to have made contact with Dyfed-Powys police mentioned?

Why does the inspector state that I made contact with Dyfed-Powys police and not the triage team?

Me: The name and number of the person making the call

Insp: The officer was PC 657 Sue Naul who is a member of our mental health triage team.

Me: Full details of the event that was referred to in June were it was alleged that I was seen, locally in June

Insp: You were seen by the crisis team in Ammanford on 4th June 2019, storm ref DP-20190604-275 refers, again this is something you created via e mail.

There's clearly been some confusion and an error has been made on the part of Insp. Davies.

What caused what has been referred to as an error?

And what was the reason for the two phone calls that I received?